UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

STATE OF OHIO, ex rel. : CASE NO.

ATTORNEY GENERAL DAVE YOST, : 2:22-cv-02700-ALM-KAJ

:

Plaintiff, : JUDGE:

Hon. Algenon L. Marbley

 \mathbf{v}_{ullet}

ANSWER AND JURY DEMAND

AARON MICHAEL JONES, et al., : OF DEFENDANT JULIE

KATHRYN BRIDGE

Defendants.

COMES NOW, Defendant Julie Kathryn Bridge, by and through counsel, and for her Answer to the Complaint of Plaintiff the State of Ohio, *ex rel* Attorney General Dave Yost [Doc. #1], states as follows:

Defendant denies each and every allegation of the Complaint not expressly or otherwise admitted below. Defendant also specifically reserves the right to assert any additional defenses and matters in avoidance as may be disclosed during the course of additional investigation and discovery.

INTRODUCTION

Defendant lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 1 of the Introduction to the Complaint, and therefore denies such allegations except as follows: Defendant denies the allegation that the acts and practices of this Answer Defendant illustrate why Americans have grown so frustrated with unlawful robocalls.

Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 2 of the Introduction to the Complaint as to this Answering Defendant. Except, however, Defendant admits the allegation that the Complaint alleges Defendants directed at least 800 million call attempts to Ohioans, without admitting such allegation. Defendant lacks sufficient

information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 3 of the Introduction to the Complaint. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 4 of the Introduction to the Complaint as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

Defendant admits that Plaintiff makes the allegations in paragraph 5 of the Introduction to the Complaint. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 5 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

PREAMBLE

Defendant incorporates the preceding paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten.

1. The allegations in paragraph 1 of the Preamble states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 1.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

Defendant incorporates the preceding paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten.

2. The allegations in paragraph 2 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies such allegations.

- 3. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 3 as to this answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations which pertain to other Defendants, and therefore, denies such allegations.
- 4. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 4 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 5. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 5 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 6. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 6 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 7. The allegations in paragraph 7 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies such allegations.
- 8. Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 8 which describe the actions of the Attorney General. However, Defendant denies any allegation that this answering Defendant engaged in such alleged conduct or that the Attorney General is entitled to damages from this answering Defendant.
- 9. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 9 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 10. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 10 and therefore denies such allegations.

DEFENDANTS

The Corporate Defendants

- 11. Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 11. However, Defendant disputes the characterization of Technologic USA, Inc. as a "Financial Shell Defendant."
- 12. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 12 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 13. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 13 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 14. Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 14. However, Defendant disputes the characterization of Virtual Telecom, Inc. as a "Financial Shell Defendant."
- 15. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 15 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 16. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 16 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 17. Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 17 that Davis Telecom, Inc. was a Wyoming corporation formed in June 2018 with its principal place of business in Cheyenne, Wyoming. However, Defendant disputes the characterization of Davis Telecom, Inc. as a "Financial Shell Defendant." Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 17, and therefore, denies such allegations.
- 18. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 18 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 19. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 19 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 20. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 20 and therefore denies such allegations.

- 21. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 21 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 22. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 22 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 23. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 23 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 24. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 24 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 25. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 25 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 26. Defendant admits the allegation in paraph 26 that Roy Melvin Cox is an Individual who resides in California. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 26 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 27. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 27 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 28. Defendant admits the allegation in paragraph 28 that she was an officer of Technologic USA and that she resides in Upland, California. Defendant admits the allegation that she was an officer of Virtual US and Davis US. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 28.
- 29. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 29 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 30. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 30 and therefore denies such allegations.

- 31. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 31 and therefore denies such allegations. However, Defendant admits the allegation that Livia Szuromi was an officer of Davis US
- 32. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 32 and therefore denies such allegations. However, Defendant admits the allegation that Andrea Horvath was an officer of Virtual US.

ALLEGED CASE BACKGROUND

- 33. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 33 that are alleged as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as to the other Defendants and therefore denies such allegations.
- 34. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 34 that are alleged as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as to the other Defendants and therefore denies such allegations.
- 35. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 35 that are alleged as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as to the other Defendants and therefore denies such allegations.
- 36. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 36 that are alleged as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as to the other Defendants and therefore denies such allegations.
- 37. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 37 that are alleged as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as to the other Defendants and therefore denies such allegations.

- 38. Defendant admits the allegation in paragraph 38 that Technologic USA, Virtual US, and Davis US obtained bank accounts. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 38 that are alleged as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations that pertain to other Defendants and therefore denies such allegations.
- 39. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 39 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 40. Defendant denies the allegation that she owned, operated, or directed any of the alleged companies in paragraph 40. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 40 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 41. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 41 and therefore denies such allegations.

DEFENDANTS' ALLEGED BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

- 42. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 42 that are alleged as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as to the other Defendants and therefore denies such allegations.
- 43. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 43 that are alleged as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as to the other Defendants and therefore denies such allegations.

- 44. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 44 that are alleged as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as to the other Defendants and therefore denies such allegations.
- 45. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 45 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 46. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 46 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 47. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 47 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 48. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 48 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 49. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 49 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 50. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 50 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 51. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 51 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 52. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 52 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 53. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 53 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 54. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 54 and therefore denies such allegations.

55. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 55 and therefore denies such allegations.

Alleged Abusive Telemarking Practices Alleged Spoofing – Failing to Transmit Accurate Caller ID

- 56. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 56 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 57. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 57 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 58. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 58 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 59. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 59 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 60. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 60 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 61. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 61 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 62. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 62 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 63. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 63 and therefore denies such allegations.

Alleged Unlawful Robocalls

- 64. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 64 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 65. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 65 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 65 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 66. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 66 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 67. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 67 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 67 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 68. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 68 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 69. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 69 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 70. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 70 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 71. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 71 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 71 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 72. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 72 and therefore denies such allegations.

- 73. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 73 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 74. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 74 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 74 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 75. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 75 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 76. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 76 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 76 and therefore denies such allegations.

Alleged Do Not Call Registry Violations

Defendant incorporates the preceding paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten.

77. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 77 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 77 and therefore denies such allegations.

<u>Alleged Seller-Specific Do Not Call Registry Violations</u>

- 78. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 78 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 79. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 79 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 79 and therefore denies such allegations.

80. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 80 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

Alleged Robocalls Without Required Disclosures

Defendant incorporates the preceding paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten.

- 81. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 81 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 82. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 82 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

ALLEGED COMMON ENTERPRISE AND INTERCHANGEABLE IDENTIFIES

- 83. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 83 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 84. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 84 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

Call Originator Defendants' and Financial Shell Defendants' Alleged Participation in Common Enterprise

- 85. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 85 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 86. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 86 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 87. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 87 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 88. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 88 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 89. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 89 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 90. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 90 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 91. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 91 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 92. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 92 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 93. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 93 and therefore denies such allegations.

- 94. Defendant admits the allegation in paragraph 94 that Davis US., Technologic USA, Inc., and Virtual Telecom Inc. obtained bank accounts. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 94 of the Complaint and therefore denies such allegations.
- 95. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 95 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 96. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 96 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 97. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 97 and therefore denies such allegations.

<u>Individual Defendants' Alleged Participation in the Common Enterprise</u>

- 98. Defendant admits the allegation in paragraph 98 that she received income in connection with services for Davis US. and the Virtual US. Defendant denies the remaining allegations as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 99. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 99 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 100. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 100 and therefore denies such allegations.

- 101. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 101 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 102. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 102 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 103. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 103 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 104. Defendant admits the allegation in paragraph 104(a) that she opened financial accounts for Virtual US, Davis US, and Technologic USA. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 104(a) as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 104(a) and therefore denies such allegations.

Defendant admits she signed contracts with banks for purposes of opening bank accounts for Virtual US, Davis US, and Technologic USA. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 104(b)

Defendant admits the allegation in paragraph 104(c) that she opened an account with Wells Fargo bank for Davis US. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 104(c) and therefore denies such allegations.

Defendant admits the allegation in paragraph 104(d) that she responded to a Civil Rule 45 subpoena as President of Technologic USA. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 104(d) and therefore denies such allegations.

Defendant admits the allegation in paragraph 104(e) that she received thousands of dollars in connection with her services for Davis US. Defendant admits that she

received thousands of dollars from Cox. Defendant denies she received funds in connection with any alleged common enterprise. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegation that she received thousands of dollars from Tech Direct, and therefore denies such allegations. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 104(e).

Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 104(f) and therefore denies such allegations.

- 105. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 105 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 106. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 106 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 107. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 107 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

Alleged Straw Directors' Alleged Roles in the Common Enterprise

- 108. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 108 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 109. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 109 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 110. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 110 and therefore denies such allegations.

- 111. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 111 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 112. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 112 and therefore denies such allegations.

PIERCING THE CORPORATE VEIL

Defendant incorporates the preceding paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten.

113. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 113 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

Corporations Allegedly Showed No Respect for Corporate Formalities

- 114. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 114 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 115. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 115 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 116. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 116 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 117. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 117 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 118. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 118 and therefore denies such allegations.

- 119. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 119 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 120. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 120 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 121. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 121 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

Alleged Fraudulent Intent

Defendant incorporates the preceding paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten.

- 122. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 122 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 123. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 123 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 124. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 124 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 125. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 125 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 126. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 126 and therefore denies such allegations.

<u>Alleged Injustice if Defendants' Corporate Form is Respected</u>

- 127. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 127 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 127 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 128. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 128 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 129. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 129 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

- 130. The allegations in paragraph 130 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 130.
- 131. The allegations in paragraph 131 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 131.
- 132. The allegations in paragraph 132 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 132.
- 133. The allegations in paragraph 133 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 133.

- 134. The allegations in paragraph 134 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 134.
- 135. The allegations in paragraph 135 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 135.
- 136. The allegations in paragraph 136 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 136.
- 137. The allegations in paragraph 137 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 137.
- 138. The allegations in paragraph 138 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 138.
- 139. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 139 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

THE TELEMARKETING SALES RULE

Defendant incorporates the preceding paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten.

140. The allegations in paragraph 140 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 140.

- 141. The allegations in paragraph 141 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 141.
- 142. The allegations in paragraph 142 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 142.
- 143. The allegations in paragraph 143 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 143.
- 144. The allegations in paragraph 144 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 144.
- 145. The allegations in paragraph 145 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 145.
- 146. The allegations in paragraph 146 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 146.
- 147. The allegations in paragraph 147 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 147.
- 148. The allegations in paragraph 148 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 148.

- 149. The allegations in paragraph 149 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 149.
- 150. The allegations in paragraph 150 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 150.
- 151. The allegations in paragraph 151 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 151.
- 152. The allegations in paragraph 152 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 152.
- 153. The allegations in paragraph 153 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 153.
- 154. The allegations in paragraph 154 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 154.
- 155. The allegations in paragraph 155 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 155.
- 156. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 156 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

<u>Count I</u> Alleged Unlawful Robocalls to Cellular Numbers

Defendant incorporates the preceding paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten.

- 157. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 157 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 158. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 158 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 159. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 159 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 160. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 160 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

<u>Count II</u> Alleged Unlawful Robocalls to Residential Numbers

Defendant incorporates the preceding paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten.

161. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 161 and therefore denies such allegations.

- 162. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 162 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 163. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 163 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 164. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 164 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

Count III Alleged Calls to Numbers on the National DNC Registry

- 165. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 165 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 166. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 166 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 167. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 167 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

168. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 168 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

Count IV Alleged Failure to Disclose Caller's Identity

Defendant incorporates the preceding paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten.

- 169. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 161 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 170. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 170 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 171. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 171 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 172. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 172 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEMARKETING SALES RULE

<u>Count V</u> Alleged Unlawful Robocalls

173. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 173 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

<u>Count VI</u> Alleged Misleading Representations

Defendant incorporates the preceding paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten.

- 174. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 174 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 175. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 175 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

<u>Count VII</u> Alleged Failure to Honor Do Not Call Requests

Defendant incorporates the preceding paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten.

176. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 176 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

<u>Count VIII</u> Alleged Failure to Transit Accurate Caller ID

177. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 177 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

VIOLATIONS OF OHIO LAWS

<u>Count IX</u> Alleged Violations of Ohio Consumer Sales Practices Act

- 178. The allegations in paragraph 178 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 178.
- 179. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 179 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 180. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 180 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 181. The allegations in paragraph 181 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 181.
- 182. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 182 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

- 183. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 183 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 184. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 184 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 185. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 185 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 186. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 186 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 187. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 187 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

Count X Alleged Violations of Ohio's Telephone Solicitation Sales Act

- 188. The allegations in paragraph 188 state legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies that she violated the provisions identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 188.
- 189. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 189 and therefore denies such allegations.

- 190. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 190 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 191. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 191 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 192. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 192 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 193. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 193 and therefore denies such allegations
- 194. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 194 and therefore denies such allegations.
- 195. Paragraph 195 is omitted from the Complaint, and therefore, no response is required.
- 196. Paragraph 196 is omitted from the Complaint, and therefore, no response is required.
- 197. Paragraph 197 is omitted from the Complaint, and therefore, no responses is required.
- 198. Paragraph 198 is omitted from the Complaint, and therefore, no response is required.
- 199. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 199 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.

ALLEGED CONSUMER INJURY

Defendant incorporates the preceding paragraphs of this Answer as if fully rewritten.

- 200. Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 200 as to this Answering Defendant. Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations and therefore denies such allegations.
- 201. Defendant denies any further allegations of Plaintiff's Complaint not expressly admitted herein.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
- 2. The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted for alleged deceptive or misleading acts or practices, as Plaintiff has failed to plead with the particularity required by Civil Rule 9.
- 3. Some or all matters alleged by the Complaint to have been unlawful occurred outside and beyond the applicable statute(s) of limitation, including but not limited to 28 U.S.C. §1658 and Ohio Revised Code §1345.10.
- 4. Some or all of the matters alleged by the Complaint to have been unlawful involved conduct by third parties which was not authorized by, controlled by, nor known by this answering Defendant.
- 5. To the extent that Plaintiff seeks monetary damages on behalf of any alleged class of victims, it has failed to comply with the procedures for a class claim and thereby denies Defendant the due process guaranteed by such procedures.

- 6. Plaintiff's Complaint conflates, without distinction, lawful conduct involving rights of free speech and association protected by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution with unlawful conduct. The threat of suit under the vague regulatory standards asserted by Plaintiff in the Complaint (such as "facilitating and assisting" alleged improper telemarketing) in and of itself constitutes an unlawful prior restraint of such First Amendment rights.
- 7. Plaintiff has failed to promulgate standards or definitions that permit a reasonable person (1) to distinguish lawful from allegedly unlawful "facilitating or assisting" or prohibited telemarketing campaigns, or (2) to determine what level of inquiry is required to avoid liability under the Plaintiff's "consciously avoided knowing" standard of culpability. To the extent that Plaintiff asserts a right to define the targeted conduct or state of mind on a case-by-case basis, it is engaging in retroactive rule-making in violation of the Administrative Procedures Act, 5 U.S.C. §500, et seq.
- 8. Any telephone calls which were allegedly made or facilitated by Defendant were exempt from the "Do Not Call" provision of the Federal Trade Commission's Telephone Sales Rule.
- 9. This answering Defendant objects that the Complaint conflates lawful with unlawful telemarketing activity and implies that material regulatory and statutory changes may and should be applied retroactively. Any such *ex post facto* application of a material regulatory or statutory change denies this answering Defendant's procedural and substantive due process.
- 10. Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part by equitable doctrines, including without limitation the doctrines of laches, waiver, fraud, estoppel, and unclean hands.

- 11. Plaintiff has failed to mitigate any alleged damages.
- 12. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the intervening fraud of a third party.
- 13. Plaintiff's Complaint is barred by the intervening negligence of a third party.
- 14. The Complaint fails to allege fraud with particularity as required by Civil Rule 9.
 - 15. The Complaint fails to join necessary and indispensable parties.
- 16. Defendant serves notice that she intends to rely upon and assert all additional affirmative defenses that become apparent during the course of discovery in this action, and reserves the right to amend her Answer to assert any and all such affirmative defenses.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Defendant Julie Kathryn Bridge prays as follows:

- 1. That Plaintiff take nothing by way of its Complaint;
- 2. That judgment be rendered in favor of this Defendant;
- 3. That this Defendant be awarded her costs of suit and attorney's fees incurred in defense of this action;
 - 4. Equitable relief; and
 - 5. For such other relief as the Court deems necessary and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Defendant demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Justin Whittaker

Justin Whittaker, Esq. (0093212) WHITTAKER LAW, LLC 1431 Walnut Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 Tel: (513) 259-3758

Fax: (513) 436-0689

Justin@WhittakerLawFirm.com

Candice M. Bryner, Esq. (CA 192462) (admitted PHV)
BRYNER CROSBY, APC
24361 El Toro Road, Suite 220
Laguna Hills, California 92637
Tel: (949) 371-9056

Fax: (949) 656-7380 Candice@BrynerLaw.com

Trial Attorneys for Defendants Roy Melvin Cox Jr. and Julie Kathryn Bridge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 26, 2022, a copy of the foregoing was filed with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system and by email to the following:

Erin B. Leahy, Esq. Christopher J. Belmarez, Esq. Ohio Attorney General's Office Consumer Protection Section 30 East Broad Street, 14th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 Erin.Leahy@OhioAGO.gov Christopher.Belmarez@OhioAGO.gov

/s/Justin Whittaker

Justin Whittaker, Esq. (0093212)